

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/907,230      | 07/17/2001      | Trung V. Le          | 10273US01               | 6313            |
| 75              | 7590 04/07/2005 |                      | EXAMINER                |                 |
| Imation Corp.   |                 |                      | SCHUBERT, KEVIN R       |                 |
| Attention: Eric | D. Levinson     |                      | A DELINIO               |                 |
| Legal Affairs   |                 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |
| P.O. Box 64898  |                 |                      | 2137                    |                 |
| St. Paul, MN    | 55164-0898      |                      |                         |                 |
| ,               | *               |                      | DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005 |                 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Amplicantical  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 4  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | 09/907,230  | LE, TRUNG V  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Kevin Schubert  | 2137   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply  | ppears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recommunication of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE          | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08  | March 2005.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | nis action is non-final.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ,—   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  | •   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdreds 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and   | rawn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 July 2001 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the 11.   | a) accepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ection is required if the drawing(s) is objection  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   | •   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list   | nts have been received.  nts have been received in Applicati iority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | on No ed in this National Stage  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) ☐ Interview Summary  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>  | Paper No(s)/Mail Da   |  |  |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)



10

15 :

20

25

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-37 have been considered.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6,8-10,12,14-25,27-32, and 34-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sollish, PCT International Publication No. WO 98/08180.

As per claims 1,15,23, and 29, the applicant describes a computer-readable medium comprising the following limitations which are met by Sollish:

- a) an access key having uncorrected data and associated error correction information having one or more errors (page 24, line 23 to page 25, line 2);
- b) digital content (page 16, lines 2-9)
  - c) an executable software application to control access to digital content based on the uncorrected data (page 16, lines 2-9);

Sollish discloses a copy protection method in which an access key is written onto a computer-readable medium, such as a CD or DVD, in the form of uncorrected data and associated error correction information having errors. The uncorrected data is in the form of ambiguous symbols. Errors are intentionally introduced into the error correction information associated with the ambiguous symbols so that error-correcting capabilities of the player do not change the ambiguous symbols. The ambiguous symbols are used to authenticate the disc. Since the ambiguous symbols are uncopyable by ordinary

10

15

20

25

recording equipment, an unauthorized disc is recognized if it does not have the ambiguous symbols and execution of the disc is prevented. Therefore, copy protection ensues.

Regarding claims 1 and 15, receiving an input from the user can be a request to execute or install the data stored on the CD or DVD (page 37, lines 19-30). Access to the medium (ie execution of the data on the medium) is controlled by the user's request to execute the data and whether or not the uncorrected data contains the ambiguous symbols.

As per claims 2 and 16, the applicant describes the method of claims 1 and 15, which are met by Sollish (see above), with the following limitation which is met by Sollish:

- a) invoking a device driver of a storage device to read the uncorrected data from the medium without modification from application of the error correction information (page 16, lines 2-9);
  - b) comparing the uncorrected data and the input (page 16, lines 2-9);

The user's desire to execute a program is compared with the decision as to whether the disc is authorized or not based on the uncorrected data. A decision is then made as to whether the program should be executed.

As per claims 3 and 17, the applicant describes the method of claims 1 and 15, which are met by Sollish (see above), with the following limitation which is met by Sollish:

Wherein controlling access to the medium includes installing a software application from the medium onto a computing system (page 37, line 19 to page 38, line 5).

As per claims 4,18,24, and 36, the applicant describes the method of claims 1,15,23, and 29, which are met by Sollish (see above), with the following limitation which is met by Sollish:

Wherein controlling access to the medium includes executing a software application from the medium (page 16, lines 6-7).

10

15

20

As per claims 5,27, and 32, the applicant limits the method of claims 1,23, and 29, which are met by Sollish (see above), with the following limitation which is met by Sollish:

Wherein controlling access to the medium includes:

- a) copying content from the medium to a second medium (page 23, lines 10-19);
- b) applying the error correction information to the uncorrected data to produce a second access key (page 23, lines 10-19);
  - c) copying the second access key to the second medium (page 23, lines 10-19).

The second access key does not contain the ambiguous symbols because error correction information applied to the ambiguous symbols prevents the ambiguous symbols from being copied onto the second medium. The error correction information applied to the uncorrected data produces a second access key when the medium is copied, but the second access key does not contain the ambiguous symbols. When the second medium attempts to execute, the ambiguous symbols will not be present in the second access key and the copied medium will therefore not execute.

As per claims 6,19,25, and 35, the applicant describes the method of claims 1,15,23, and 29, which are met by Sollish (see above) with the following limitation which is also met by Sollish:

Wherein controlling access to the medium includes producing an audio output based on content stored on the medium (page 1, line 5).

As per claims 8 and 20, the applicant describes the method of claims 1 and 15, which are met by Sollish (see above), with the following limitation which is also met by Sollish:

Wherein the error correction information includes error correction information selected from an error correction code, a cyclic redundancy code, and a Cross Interleaved Reed-Solomon Code (page 9, lines 13-15).

As per claims 9 and 21, the applicant describes the method of claims 1 and 15, which are met by Sollish (see above), with the following limitation which is also met by Sollish:

10

15

Wherein controlling access to the medium comprises decrypting the digital content contained within the medium based on the uncorrected data and the input (page 37, lines 14-16).

As per claim 10, the applicant describes the method of claim 9, which is met by Sollish (see above), with the following additional limitation which is also met by Sollish:

Wherein the digital content comprises at least one of a software application, audio data, or video data (page 37, line 20).

As per claim 12, the applicant describes the method of claim 1, which is met by Sollish (see above), with the following limitation which is also met by Sollish:

Further including selecting the access key from a plurality of access keys, where each of the access keys includes data and associated error correction information, having one or more errors (page 23, lines 20-27).

Sollish discloses that a plurality of access keys, which contain data and associated error correction information, can be written on the medium. The reading medium need only select one to prove that the medium is authentic.

As per claims 14,22,28, and 37, the applicant describes the method of claims 1,15,23, and 29, which are met by Sollish (see above), with the following limitation which is met by Sollish:

Wherein the uncorrected data includes accurate error correction information for the uncorrected data (page 17, lines 10-11).

The uncorrected data is associated with error correction information which contains one or more errors at specific locations. The error correction information is a mix of accurate error correction information and one or more errors.

As per claims 30,31, and 34, the applicant describes the method of claims 29 and 33, which are met by Sollish (see above), with the following limitation which is met by Sollish:

20

Application/Control Number: 09/907,230

Art Unit: 2137

Wherein associating content and the access key comprises communicating the digital content

Page 6

The transmission medium is specialized optical media and the storage medium is an optical device, such as a CD or DVD (page 1, lines 5-6).

and the access key through a transmission medium (page 23, lines 14-15);

5

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

10

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

15

20

25

Claims 7 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sollish in view of Stebbings, U.S. Patent No. 6,684,199.

As per claim 7, the applicant describes the method of claim 1, which is met by Sollish (see above), with the following limitations which are met by Stebbings:

- a) receiving a first access key and a second access key from the medium, where the first and second access keys each include uncorrected data (Sollish: page 23, lines 20-27);
- b) comparing the uncorrected data of the first access key to the uncorrected data of the second access key (Stebbings: Col 15, lines 41-48);
- c) selectively using the first access key based on the comparison (Stebbings: Col 15, lines 41-48):

Sollish discloses all the limitations of claim 1. Also Sollish discloses a copy protection method in which a plurality of access keys are present on an optical disc. However, Sollish does not disclose a comparison of first and second access keys.

10

15

20

25

Stebbings discloses a copy protection method similar to Sollish's in which a plurality of access keys are present on an optical disc. Stebbings also discloses that the access keys can correspond to particular tracks of an audio CD and that authentication is given on a track by track basis. Therefore, if a user wishes to play track 5, the system compares the access keys of each track and selectively uses the access key which decrypts track 5.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was filed to combine the ideas of Stebbings with those of Sollish because doing so allows the selective use of a particular access key for access to information associated with the particular access key.

As per claim 11, the applicant describes the method of claim 1, which is met by Sollish (see above), with the following limitation which is met by Stebbings:

Wherein receiving the access key includes decrypting the access key (Stebbings: Col 14, lines 66-67).

Claims 13 and 33 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sollish in view of Bell, U.S. Patent No. 6,832,319.

As per claim 13, the applicant describes the method of claim 12, which is met by Sollish (see above), with the following limitations which are met by Bell:

Wherein selecting the access key includes:

- a) assigning a random number to the medium, wherein the random number is uniquely associated with the medium (Col 2, lines 44-47);
- b) selecting the access key from the plurality of access keys based on the random number (Col 4, lines 40-54);
- c) generating a hash value from the random number and the selected access key (Col 4, lines 40-54);
  - d) decrypting content of the medium using the hash value (Col 4, lines 40-54);

10

15

20

25

Sollish discloses all the limitations of claim 12. However, Sollish does not disclose the particular method of decrypting content as described above.

Bell discloses a copy protection system of an optical disc in which each disc is assigned a unique media ID, or random number. The disc also has a plurality of media keys. Based on the media ID or random number, a computer readable code selects a media key from the disc and creates the content decryption key by combining, or hashing, the media ID with the media key to form a content key. The content key is used for decrypting the content on the medium.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the ideas of Bell with those of Sollish because doing so yields a more secure method of retrieving a decryption key.

As per claim 33, the applicant describes the method of claim 29, which is met by Sollish (see above), with the following limitations which are met by Bell:

- a) receiving input from a user (Col 4, lines 26-39);
- b) generating an encryption key based on the input and the access key (Col 4, lines 26-39);
- c) encrypting the digital content based on the encryption key (Col 4, lines 26-39):
- d) associating the encrypted digital content with the access key (Col 4, lines 26-39);

The input from the user is a command to encrypt the data on the medium. Based on this command, an encryption key is generated based on a media key (access key) and a media ID. The digital content is encrypted on the medium and associated with the media key (access key) stored in the media key block.

Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sollish in view of Menezes (Menezes, Alfred. Handbook of Applied Cryptography. CRC Press. 1997. page 363.

As per claim 26, the applicant describes the data storage device of claim 23, which is met by Sollish (see above), with the following limitation which is met by Menezes:

Wherein the error correction information includes an incorrect cyclic redundancy code (page 363);

10

15

20

Sollish describes all the limitations of claim 23. However Sollish includes the use of Cross Interleaved Reed-Solomon Code, not cyclic redundancy code.

Menezes discloses that cyclic redundancy code is a well known and used error correction technique, like Cross Interleaved Reed-Solomon Code. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was filed to combine the ideas of Menezes with those of Sollish and use CRC instead of CIRC because CRC is a commonly used technique for error correction.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 3/8/05, with respect to claims 1-6,8,10,14-20, and 22-28 of Carson have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of these claims has been withdrawn. However, new grounds of rejection have been applied.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 29-32 and 35-37 of Stebbings have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of these claims has been withdrawn. However, new grounds of rejection have been applied.

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE NON-FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this non-final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Schubert whose telephone number is (571) 272-4239. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Andrew Caldwell can be reached on (571) 272-3868. The fax phone number for the organization where
this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2137

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ANDREW CALDWELL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

andrew Caldwell

10

5